

EXERCISE ON PLURALS

In order to deal with plurals, philosophers and linguists have proposed to introduce a new kind of object in our ontology: besides (singular) individuals, truth values and sets/characteristic functions of them, we have plural individuals or individual sums.¹ We can form plural individuals by conjoining existing individuals with the “individual sum” operation \cup_i . The set D_e now contains singular/atomic individuals and plural/non-atomic individuals, ordered by the reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive “part-of” relation \leq_i .²

- (1) $\{a, b\} \neq a \cup_i b$
- (2) $a \leq_i a \cup_i b \cup_i c$
- (3) $\llbracket [\text{DP Pat, Mary and Susan}] \rrbracket = p \cup_i m \cup_i s$

This exercise is concerned with plural definite descriptions, e.g. **the children**. The final aim of this exercise is two-fold: (i) to decide on a denotation for plural Nouns (e.g., **children**), derivable from the standard denotation of the corresponding singular Noun; and (ii) to define a denotation for the definite article **the** that derives the right presuppositions and truth conditions for both singular and plural definite NPs. To accomplish these goals, you will be guided part of the way.

(i) *Plural Nouns*. In mereological PrL, the operators “*” and “ \otimes ” apply to 1-place predicates to yield the kinds of denotations exemplified in the left column in (4). For the time being, consider the two possible denotations of the NatLg **children** given in the right column. Also, keep in mind the equivalences under (5).

(4)

Mereological PrL	NatLg
a. $\llbracket \text{CHILD} \rrbracket^{s12} = \{a, b, c\}$	a'. $\llbracket \text{child} \rrbracket^{s12} = \text{char}\{a, b, c\}$
b. $\llbracket * \text{CHILD} \rrbracket^{s12} = \{a, b, c, a \cup_i b, a \cup_i c, b \cup_i c, a \cup_i b \cup_i c\}$	b'. $\llbracket \text{children} \rrbracket^{s12} = ?$ $\text{char}\{a, b, c, a \cup_i b, a \cup_i c, b \cup_i c, a \cup_i b \cup_i c\}$
c. $\llbracket \otimes \text{CHILD} \rrbracket^{s12} = \{a \cup_i b, a \cup_i c, b \cup_i c, a \cup_i b \cup_i c\}$	c'. $\llbracket \text{children} \rrbracket^{s12} = ?$ $\text{char}\{a \cup_i b, a \cup_i c, b \cup_i c, a \cup_i b \cup_i c\}$

- (5) a. If P denotes a singleton, $\llbracket *P \rrbracket = \llbracket P \rrbracket$.
- b. If P denotes a singleton, $\llbracket \otimes P \rrbracket = \emptyset$.

¹ See the mereological version of Predicate Logic in Leonard-Goodman 1949 and Goodman-Quine 1947.

² The join operation \cup_i has the properties of idempotency, commutativity, associativity and absorption (see Partee-ter Meulen-Wall p. 279-80). That is, the so-enlarged D_e is a complete join semilattice.

(ii) *Plural the*. The intuitions that we have to capture are illustrated under (6): plural definite descriptions carry a non-uniqueness presupposition, and they denote the maximal individual of which the plural predicate holds. Two informal trials, corresponding to the possibilities (4b'-c'), are sketched under (7)-(8).

(6) **The children are tired.**

- a. Presupposition: There is more than one child (in situation s).
- b. Denotation of the DP: the maximal plural individual in $\llbracket \mathbf{children} \rrbracket^s$;
e.g. $\llbracket \mathbf{the children} \rrbracket^{s12} = a \cup_i b \cup_i c$.

(7) Possibility 1: (4b'). [Informally]
 $\llbracket \mathbf{The}_{+PL} \rrbracket^s = \lambda X_{\langle et \rangle} : \text{there is a non-atomic } y_e \text{ such that } X(y)=1 \text{ .}$
the maximal individual z_e for such that $X(z)=1$

(8) Possibility 2: (4c'). [Informally]
 $\llbracket \mathbf{The}_{+PL} \rrbracket^s = \lambda X_{\langle et \rangle} : X \neq \text{char}_{\emptyset} \text{ .}$
the maximal individual z_e for such that $X(z)=1$

(iii) Here comes your task. Contrary to \mathbf{the}_{+PL} , we saw in class that \mathbf{the}_{+SING} has a uniqueness presupposition and it denotes that unique individual. The informal version of \mathbf{the}_{+SING} is given under (9). Your task is to define one single denotation for \mathbf{the} that will derive the right presuppositions and denotations no matter whether its Noun is plural or singular. In doing so, you'll have to decide between * and \otimes as the denotation of NatLg plural marking. (You can do (10) informally first, and then formalize it by using mereological $\text{PrL}+\lambda+\iota$.)

(9) $\llbracket \mathbf{The}_{+SING} \rrbracket^s = \lambda X_{\langle et \rangle} : \text{there is exactly one } x \text{ for which } X(x)=1 \text{ .}$
the unique y such that $X(y)=1$.

(10) $\llbracket \mathbf{The} \rrbracket^s =$